FROM THE DESK OF TECEIVEL 9311 (52 % 0. Box 404 COUNTY OF CHIND 19 1993 Bob Counter 33570 12 NOV 1993 Gentlemen: As you may inter trom my salutation, I am à "senion"; not Politically Correct, retiree who is living on a limited fixed in some but who also is an active registered voter. I write you, concerned the rumored (or planned?) increase again for Marine Radio Station Licenses will cause many like myself to pitch VHF's over the side, resorting to Small Radio Shack battery Wix receivers! The taxing appetite of my government con--tinues to smaze me, particularly the creativity exercised in selection small specially-target groups who appear the most the most not tax OB Radino, the taxy cast fled andler police radios, eta? The income or pared to us busters would be phonomeral; but alas so would be the apposition. You may be assured that both my dofive participation in the US Coast Quand Auxilary together with my ownership of Marine VAF radios will cease upon the increase of my More viable sources for your thirst for tevenues. No. of Copies rec'd lug Robert G. COURTER LODR USNR-Ret.

MO 94-19

SE OCKET FILE COPY ORIGINA

ON ON

Gentlemen :

We are writing to express one sugative wiew of the new fee of \$35" that is slated to be added to the VHF hierarches. We purchased our radio for safety purposes and belief an added fee to there already in existence would put an added burden on the average boater. We are being hit with new fees and takes every time we turn around. Soon it will be cheaper to get a cellerar phone to use on one boat. It seems that everything is going up in price but our wages sure aren't.

Thank you for you time

Livinge & Margie Golden 5908-8t Dre South Jampa Florda 33619-4565

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

MD94-19 ONE THE COPY ORIGINA

RIVATE R of many the WHF marin Leaner for elf the gree into NOV, 12-1993 of mill not carry a rateCC - MAIL ROOM hope the Coast Guard will come and rescar me anyway if it med help of have a 20 FT boat and pay of enough tages or everything I am 65 year Id adda it need any more tager Anstabley POSIX F53 Samuelet Light No. of Copies rec'd Luc List ABCDE

MD94-19

William

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Gerald Varner
1375 S. Cocalico
Denver, PA 17517

NOV 1 9 1993

Nov. 18, 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Secretary of the FCC
Office of Managing Director
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St. NW
Washington, DC 20554

Mr./Ms. Secretary:

I recently read in BOAT/US REPORTS of the idea to add a new fee to the price of the license for VHF radios. I really believe that this additional fee would have a negative effect to the safety of boating. With the price of gas at marinas already out of line, this extra fee for the VHF license would encourage boaters either to use the radio without a license or to go without a radio at all. This could become the biggest problem as far as safety, for we all are aware of what an essential tool the VHF radio is when boating on large areas of water.

Should this extra fee go into effect, I feel many boaters would do as I would do: buy a portable VHF and remove the antenna from my boat.

With the amount of money boaters spend for gas, equipment, and the legal neccessities, can't we just be left alone?

Gerald Parser

No. of Copies rec'd Lug List ABCDE

MB-94-19

**MANAGES** 

Mr. Secretary,

FCC - MAIL PROMISE CEIVED

Liebnses.

Tam a boater, small boat, but still a boater and am wondering for what purpose slo you people want to incresse the exiting fees.

you people, the 7. E.C., about make radios, you don't sell radios as also anything fertaining to the rados, except control the our worse. Oh yes, and rouse fees.

mr. Secretary, if you owned a boat and were retired, please think about these excessions licenses fees, as if you were a boater.

Respectively Maries
L'harles E. Hortofan
Charles E. Hortof
119 JANWALL ST.

No. of Copies rec'd duy List ABCDE

M094-19

COCKET FILE COPY CAIGHAN

FCC. MAIL ROOM

PRIVATE RADIO BUREAU SPEC SPEC

1075 Klem Road Webster, NY 14580 November 14, 1993

Secretary to the FCC c/o Office of the Managing Director Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St. NW. Washington D.C. 20554

Dear Secretary:

I understand that the FCC is discussing an increase in the VHF user fee for marine radios. This fee is in addition to the \$35 licensing fee and will effectively double the cost of using a VHF radio. I question the reasonableness of this increase for three reasons.

First, the VHF radio is the first line of emergency communication for boaters. The increase in user fees will reduce the number of people who use the VHF radio, at the risk of their safety.

Second, I wonder if all users of the air waves are being taxed (that's really all the fee is) equally. Do you tax non-marine VHF radio, cellular phones, CB radios, single side band radios, and ham radios equally on a per minute use basis? I doubt it. I don't mind paying my fair share, but I hate it when government takes advantage of the supposedly affluent boating population.

Lastly, since VHF licensing is difficult to enforce, the increase in fees will increase the number of illegal users. Whatever budget deficit you are planning on correcting, you will wind up short due to the people who don't renew their license. If you have already built attrition in to the fee increase formula, you are punishing honest citizens for your choice of a licensing system which is unenforceable, and I question your integrity.

Sincerely.

Michael E. Smalter

Milal & Smalle

No. of Copies rec'd light List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

PRIVATE RA

Nov. 17, 1993

SPECIAL

MD94-19
RECEIVED

NOT 22 18 33 14 193 FCC - MAIL ROOM

Secretary of the FCC c/o Office of the Managing Director Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St. NW Washington, D. C. 20554

Dear Sir:

that the FCC may be proposing that the current \$35 fee for marine licensing be doubled with additional "user fees." I would like to voice strong opposition to this proposal. The Marine VHF radio is a vital piece of safety equipment for the recreational boater. If anything this fee should only apply to commercial vessels and recreational boaters should be exempted to encourage them to equip their vessels as a matter of safety. Recreational boaters receive no financial reward for use of their vessel.

In summary, additional fees will either discourage many from equipping their vessels with this safety equipment or attempt to evade the law. In either case we all lose. If anything the FCC should encourage safe boating by eliminating the fee entirely for recreationally registered vessels. Thank you for your time, and I ask that you will give serious consideration to the overall issue of boating safety.

James M. Jones

1255 Twin Pine Run

Oviedo. Fl 32765

No. of Copies rec'd lug List ABCDE

MD94-19

## POCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

PRIVATE RADIO BUREATE

NOV 1

Nov Zo 7140 Presley Road Lanham, Maryland 20706

RECEIVED

NOV 1.

November 17, 1993

No. Art. C. Mall Rooms Secretary of the FCC Office of Managing Director Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I write to express my vehement opposition to the proposed "user fee" for holders of VHF marine radio licenses. Enough said.

Very truly yours,

Louise W. Fawbush

Louise W. Far

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List ABCDE